

## Homework assignment 2 – Solution

### Exercise 1. LQ problem [10pt]

Consider the general Linear-Quadratic problem seen in class (or Section 2.1.1 of the lecture notes), with infinite horizon and the following parameters:  $d = 2$ ,  $\ell = 1$ ,  $N = 1$ ,  $\rho = 1/2$  and

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the following, we will denote by  $x_k := (y_k, z_k)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^2$  the state of the system at time  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , with initial condition  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  known. We also denote by  $v(x)$  the value function associated to this LQ problem, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

- [7pt] Compute the controllability matrix and decide whether this system is *controllable* or not.

**Solution.** The controllability matrix is

$$C = [B \quad AB] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which has rank 2. Hence the pair  $(A, B)$  is controllable.

- [10pt] We know that  $v(y, z) = ay^2 + bz^2$  for some constants  $a, b$ . Use the dynamic programming equation to compute the constants  $a, b$ .

**Solution.** The discounted DPE is

$$v(x) = x^\top Mx + \inf_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ u^2 + \rho v(Ax + Bu) \right\}, \quad \rho = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (1.1)$$

Assume a quadratic form  $v(x) = x^\top Vx$  with

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad a, b > 0.$$

Writing  $x = (y, z)^\top$ , we have  $Ax + Bu = (z, -2y + u)^\top$ . Substituting into (??) gives

$$ay^2 + bz^2 = y^2 + 2z^2 + \inf_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ u^2 + \frac{1}{2}(az^2 + b(-2y + u)^2) \right\}.$$

Define the convex function

$$f(u) := u^2 + \frac{1}{2}(az^2 + b(-2y + u)^2), \quad f''(u) = 2 + b > 0 \quad \text{if } b > -2.$$

The first-order condition  $f'(u) = (2 + b)u - 2by = 0$  yields the unique minimizer

$$u^* = \frac{2by}{2 + b}.$$

A short calculation yields the minimal value

$$\inf_{u \in \mathbb{R}} f(u) = \frac{1}{2} az^2 + \frac{4b}{2 + b} y^2. \quad (1.2)$$

Plugging (??) back gives the identity (for all  $y, z$ )

$$(a - 1 - \frac{4b}{2+b})y^2 + (b - 2 - \frac{a}{2})z^2 = 0,$$

hence the coefficient system

$$a - 1 - \frac{4b}{2+b} = 0, \quad b - 2 - \frac{a}{2} = 0. \tag{1.3}$$

From the second equation,  $a = 2b - 4$ . Substituting into the first:

$$(2b - 5) - \frac{4b}{2+b} = 0 \iff (2b - 5)(2 + b) = 4b \iff 2b^2 - 5b - 10 = 0.$$

Thus

$$b = \frac{5 + \sqrt{105}}{4} \approx 3.8118, \quad a = 2b - 4 = \frac{-3 + \sqrt{105}}{2} \approx 3.6235,$$

and  $V = \text{diag}(a, b) \succ 0$ . Therefore  $v(x) = x^\top Vx$  solves the DPE.

3. [10pt] Consider the following minimization problem:

$$\tilde{v}(r_0, r_1) := \inf_u \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} (r_k^2 + 2r_{k+1}^2 + u_k^2),$$

where  $r_{k+2} + 2r_k = u_k$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , and the initial conditions  $r_0, r_1$  are given. Formulate this problem as a Linear Quadratic problem, i.e. write down  $A, B, M, N$  and  $\rho$ .

**Solution. Consider**

$$\tilde{v}(r_0, r_1) := \inf_{\{u_k\}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} (r_k^2 + 2r_{k+1}^2 + u_k^2), \quad r_{k+2} + 2r_k = u_k.$$

Let  $x_k := (r_k, r_{k+1})^\top$ ; then

$$x_{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x_k + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} u_k = Ax_k + Bu_k,$$

and the stage cost is  $x_k^\top Mx_k + u_k^\top Nu_k$  with the same  $(M, N)$  as above and discount  $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence  $\tilde{v}$  is exactly the same discounted LQ with data  $(A, B, M, N, \rho)$ .

4. [3pt] Compute  $v(1, 2)$ .

Since  $v(x) = x^\top Vx$  with  $V = \text{diag}(a, b)$  as above,

$$v(1, 2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = a + 4b = \frac{-3 + \sqrt{105}}{2} + 4 \cdot \frac{5 + \sqrt{105}}{4} = \frac{7 + 3\sqrt{105}}{2} \approx 18.871.$$

## Exercise 2. [30pt]

Suppose that  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are i.i.d. from the Poisson distribution with mean  $\theta > 0$ , i.e., for any  $k = 1, \dots$ , conditioned on the value of  $\theta$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_k = y | \theta) = \frac{\theta^y}{y!} e^{-\theta}, \quad y = 0, 1, \dots$$

The parameter  $\theta$  is not known and hence, is a random variable. Suppose that the *prior distribution of  $\theta$  is the gamma distribution* with parameters  $a, b > 0$ , i.e., the p.d.f. is given by,

$$f_{\theta}(\theta) = \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(a)} \theta^{a-1} e^{-b\theta}, \quad \theta > 0,$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the *gamma function*:

$$\Gamma(a) := \int_0^{\infty} z^{a-1} e^{-z} dz.$$

In this exercise you do not need the properties of the  $\Gamma$  function.

1. Show that the posterior distribution of  $\theta$  based on the observation that  $Y_1 = y_1$  is again gamma-distributed with parameters,

$$a + y_1, \quad b + 1.$$

**Solution.** By Bayes' Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\theta|Y}(\theta | Y_1 = y_1) &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y_1 = y_1 | \theta) f_{\theta}(\theta)}{\mathbb{P}(Y_1 = y_1)} \\ &= C \mathbb{P}(Y_1 = y_1 | \theta) f_{\theta}(\theta), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  a suitable normalization constant that makes  $f_{\theta|Y}$  a pdf, i.e.,  $C$  is the unique constant so that

$$\int f_{\theta|Y}(\theta | Y_1 = y_1) d\theta = 1.$$

Given that  $Y | \theta \sim \text{Poi}(\theta)$  and the prior  $\theta \sim \text{Gamma}(a, b)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\theta|Y}(\theta | Y_1 = y_1) &= C \left( \frac{\theta^{y_1}}{y_1!} e^{-\theta} \right) (\theta^{a-1} e^{-b\theta}) \\ &= C' \theta^{a+y_1-1} e^{-(b+1)\theta}, \quad \theta > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C'$  absorbs all multiplicative factors that don't depend on  $\theta$ . By matching the terms to the known form of the gamma distribution, we see that  $\theta | Y_1 = y_1 \sim \text{Gamma}(a + y_1, b + 1)$ , as desired.

2. By iterating part a, show that the posterior distribution of  $\theta$  based on the observations  $Y_1 = y_1, Y_2 = y_2, \dots, Y_n = y_n$  is again gamma-distributed with parameters,

$$a + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i, \quad b + n.$$

**Solution.** Proceed by induction on  $n$ . The base case  $n = 1$  is shown in part a. Assuming the inductive hypothesis holds for  $n - 1$  observations, that is

$$\theta | Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1} = y_{n-1} \sim \text{Gamma}\left(a + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i, b + n - 1\right)$$

Since the observations are i.i.d., we can apply Bayes Theorem using the posterior distribution after the first  $n - 1$  observations as the prior before including the  $n$ -th observation. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\theta|Y}(\theta | Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_n = y_n) &= C \left( \frac{\theta^{y_n}}{y_n!} e^{-\theta} \right) (\theta^{[a + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i - 1]} e^{-(b+n-1)\theta}) \\ &= C' \theta^{a + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - 1} e^{-(b+n)\theta} \end{aligned}$$

Again, we recognize this form as the pdf of a Gamma distributed random variable. So, by induction, we have

$$\theta \mid Y_1 = y_1, \dots, Y_n = y_n \sim \mathbf{Gamma}(a + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i, b + n)$$

as desired.

### Exercise 3. Bayesian estimation and bias [40pt]

The first part of this exercise is theoretical, while the second part (from question 5 onwards) involves numerical implementation. You do not have to upload your code, you should just answer the questions by giving appropriate numerical values and relevant graphs.

This exercise is related to a court case *Castaneda vs. Partida* 430 U.S. 482 (1977) deciding whether there was bias in juror selection in a particular county. The local population of the given county was 79% Mexican-American. However, over an 11-year period, among the 870 persons summoned to serve as grand jurors, only 339 were Mexican-American.

Court assumed that the selection of jurors was random with  $P$  being the probability of any selected juror being Mexican-American. If  $Y$  denotes the number of Mexican-American jurors, then  $Y$  is a Binomial random variable with  $n = 870$  and success probability  $P$ . Namely, the conditional distribution of  $Y$  given  $P = p$  is:

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = y \mid P = p) = \binom{n}{y} p^y (1-p)^{n-y}, \quad \text{for } y = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Based on many scientific experiments, the Court assumed that the distribution of  $P$  prior to the observation is a Beta distribution with parameters  $a$  and  $b$ .

1. [4pt] Compute  $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid P = 0.79]$ . By comparing this value with the observation, comment on potential bias in the jury selection procedure.

**Solution.** The expectation of a Binomial with parameter  $n$  and success probability  $P$  is given by  $n \times P$ . Therefore,  $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid P = 0.79] = 870 \times 0.79 = 687.3$ . This value is substantially bigger than the actually observed value of  $Y = 339$ . Therefore, based on the observation  $Y = 339$ , one might guess that there is bias in the jury selection procedure in this county.

2. [6pt] Write the (prior) density function of  $P$  and give the formula for its expectation.

**Solution.** The prior distribution of  $P$  is a Beta distribution with parameters  $a$  and  $b$ . Therefore, its density is given by:

$$f_P(p) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} p^{a-1} (1-p)^{b-1}, \quad p \in (0, 1).$$

Its expectation is  $\mathbb{E}[P] = a/(a+b)$ .

3. [10pt] Show that the posterior distribution of  $P$ , given an observation  $Y = y$  for  $y \in \{0, \dots, n\}$  satisfies the following, for some function  $C$  independent of  $p$  (you do not need to compute the function  $C$ ):

$$f_{P|Y=y}(p) = C(y) p^{a+y-1} (1-p)^{b+n-y-1}, \quad p \in (0, 1).$$

**Solution.** Given the framework of the problem, we should use the following (mixed) Bayes' formula:

$$f_{P|Y=y}(p) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y = y \mid P = p) f_P(p)}{\mathbb{P}(Y = y)}.$$

We know that for  $y = 0, 1, \dots, n$  and  $p \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = y | P = p) = \binom{n}{y} p^y (1-p)^{n-y}, \quad \text{and} \quad f_P(p) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} p^{a-1} (1-p)^{b-1}.$$

Replacing in the previous formula, we obtain for  $y = 0, 1, \dots, n$  and  $p \in (0, 1)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f_{P|Y=y}(p) &= \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(Y=y)} \binom{n}{y} p^y (1-p)^{n-y} \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} p^{a-1} (1-p)^{b-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(Y=y)} \binom{n}{y} \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} p^{a+y-1} (1-p)^{b+n-y-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Defining the function  $C$  as:

$$C(y) := \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(Y=y)} \binom{n}{y} \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)},$$

which is indeed independent of  $p$ , we obtain the required equality for  $y = 0, 1, \dots, n$  and  $p \in (0, 1)$ :

$$f_{P|Y=y}(p) = C(y) p^{a+y-1} (1-p)^{b+n-y-1}.$$

4. [10pt] Compute that

$$C(y) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b+n)}{\Gamma(a+y)\Gamma(b+n-y)},$$

and conclude that the posterior distribution of  $P$ , given the observation  $Y = 339$ , is again a Beta distribution with parameters  $a + 339$  and  $b + 531$ .

**Solution.** Given the result of the previous question, we have, for  $y = 0, 1, \dots, n$  and  $p \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$f_{P|Y=y}(p) = C(y) p^{a+y-1} (1-p)^{b+n-y-1},$$

with

$$C(y) := \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(Y=y)} \binom{n}{y} \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}.$$

To compute  $C$ , we first compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(Y=y) &= \int_0^1 \mathbb{P}(Y=y, P=p) dp = \int_0^1 \mathbb{P}(Y=y | P=p) f_P(p) dp \\ &= \binom{n}{y} \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \int_0^1 p^y (1-p)^{n-y} p^{a-1} (1-p)^{b-1} dp \\ &= \binom{n}{y} \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \int_0^1 p^{a+y-1} (1-p)^{b+n-y-1} dp. \end{aligned}$$

Since the integral of any density is equal to 1, we have:

$$\frac{\Gamma(a+b+n)}{\Gamma(a+y)\Gamma(b+n-y)} \int_0^1 p^{a+y-1} (1-p)^{b+n-y-1} dp = 1,$$

and therefore

$$\int_0^1 p^{a+y-1} (1-p)^{b+n-y-1} dp = \frac{\Gamma(a+y)\Gamma(b+n-y)}{\Gamma(a+b+n)}.$$

Replacing in the computations for  $\mathbb{P}(Y = y)$ , we have:

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = y) = \binom{n}{y} \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \frac{\Gamma(a+y)\Gamma(b+n-y)}{\Gamma(a+b+n)}.$$

Using this in the definition of the function  $C$ , we obtain the desired result for  $C$ , namely:

$$C(y) := \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(Y = y)} \binom{n}{y} \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} = \frac{\binom{n}{y} \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}}{\binom{n}{y} \frac{\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)} \frac{\Gamma(a+y)\Gamma(b+n-y)}{\Gamma(a+b+n)}} = \frac{\Gamma(a+b+n)}{\Gamma(a+y)\Gamma(b+n-y)}.$$

Using this formula for  $C(y)$ , we obtain for all  $y = 0, 1, \dots, n$  and  $p \in (0, 1)$ :

$$f_{P|Y=y}(p) = \frac{\Gamma(a+b+n)}{\Gamma(a+y)\Gamma(b+n-y)} p^{a+y-1} (1-p)^{b+n-y-1}.$$

Denoting by  $\tilde{a} = a + y$  and  $\tilde{b} = b + n - y$  and noticing that  $\tilde{a} + \tilde{b} = a + y + b + n - y = a + b + n$ , we can write, for  $p \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$f_{P|Y=y}(p) = \frac{\Gamma(\tilde{a} + \tilde{b})}{\Gamma(\tilde{a})\Gamma(\tilde{b})} p^{\tilde{a}-1} (1-p)^{\tilde{b}-1}.$$

We recognise the density of a Beta distribution with parameters  $\tilde{a} = a + y$  and  $\tilde{b} = b + n - y$ . Therefore, the posterior distribution of  $P$ , given the observation  $Y = y$ , is a Beta distribution with parameters  $\tilde{a} = a + y$  and  $\tilde{b} = b + n - y$ . In this example, we observe  $Y = 339$ , and recalling that  $n = 870$ , we obtain that the posterior distribution of  $P$ , given the observation  $Y = 339$ , is a Beta distribution with parameters  $\tilde{a} = a + 339$  and  $\tilde{b} = b + 870 - 339 = b + 531$ .

In the following, as the prior must be consistent with the population, we set  $\mathbb{E}[P] = 0.79$ , or equivalently  $a = 79b/21$ . Mathematically, the bias in juror selection would mean that the random variable  $P$  is substantially smaller than 0.79. Say we define the bias to be  $P$  being 80% or less than the population percentage of 79%. In other words, the event  $P \leq 0.8 \times 0.79 = 0.632$  means bias.

5. [4pt] Remark that as  $a = 79b/21$ , the conditional probability  $\mathbb{P}(P \leq 0.632 \mid Y = 339)$  is only a function of  $b$ . Numerically compute and plot this probability as a function of  $b \in [0.1, n]$  with step size 0.1.
6. [4pt] Determine the values of  $b$  for which the previous probability is less than 0.5. For the minimum admissible value of  $b$ , give the corresponding value of  $a$  and the resulting parameters for the posterior distribution.
7. [2pt] Numerically compute and plot the unconditional probability  $\mathbb{P}(P \leq 0.632)$  as a function of  $b \in [0.1, n]$  with step size 0.1. What do you obtain for the admissible values for  $b$  found in the previous question? Comment briefly.

**Solution.** The solution of Questions 5 to 7 can be found in the associated python file.

*One additional remark can be made about the results. The calculations prove that in order to believe that there is no-bias, initially one must give almost zero probability to the possibility that there is bias. By playing a bit with the code, one can see that if initially one accepts even with a small probability that there is bias, then after the observation of  $Y = 339$  the probability of bias is overwhelming.*